


Steve Moreno
Clerk & Recorder
Weld County, Colorado

OFFICIAL GENERAL
ELECTION BALLOT
WELD COUNTY, COLORADO
TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 2, 2010

INSTRUCTIONS TO VOTERS

To vote for the candidate or measure of your choice, darken the OVAL to the RIGHT of the candidate or measure. To vote for a person not on the ballot, darken the oval to the right and write in the name in the write-in space provided. If you tear, deface, or wrongly mark this ballot, return it and get another. **VOTE LIKE THIS:**  PLEASE USE BLACK PEN

FEDERAL OFFICES	STATE OFFICES	DISTRICT 33 - STATE REPRESENTATIVE (Vote for One)
UNITED STATES SENATOR (Vote for One)	GOVERNOR/LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR (Vote for One Pair)	Donald Beezley Republican <input type="radio"/> Dianne Primavera Democratic <input type="radio"/>
Ken Buck Republican <input type="radio"/>	John Hickenlooper / Joseph Garcia Democratic <input type="radio"/>	DISTRICT 48 - STATE REPRESENTATIVE (Vote for One)
Michael F. Bennet Democratic <input type="radio"/>	Dan Maes / Tambor Williams Republican <input type="radio"/>	Glenn Vaad Republican <input type="radio"/> Connie (Hurtado) Coffman Democratic <input type="radio"/>
Bob Kinsey (Signed declaration to limit service to no more than 2 terms) Green <input type="radio"/>	Jaimes Brown / Ken Wyble Libertarian <input type="radio"/>	DISTRICT 49 - STATE REPRESENTATIVE (Vote for One)
Maclyn "Mac" Stringer Libertarian <input type="radio"/>	Tom Tancredo / Pat Miller American Constitution <input type="radio"/>	Karen Stockley Democratic <input type="radio"/> B. J. Nikkel Republican <input type="radio"/>
Charley Miller (Chose not to sign declaration to limit service to 2 terms) Unaffiliated <input type="radio"/>	Jason R. Clark / Victoria A. Adams Unaffiliated <input type="radio"/>	DISTRICT 50 - STATE REPRESENTATIVE (Vote for One)
J. Moromisato Unaffiliated <input type="radio"/>	Paul Noel Fiorino / Heather A. McKibbin Unaffiliated <input type="radio"/>	Bob Boswell Republican <input type="radio"/> Jim Riesberg Democratic <input type="radio"/>
Write-in <input type="text"/>	SECRETARY OF STATE (Vote for One)	DISTRICT 65 - STATE REPRESENTATIVE (Vote for One)
Jason Napolitano (Signed declaration to limit service to no more than 2 terms) Independent Reform <input type="radio"/>	Scott Gessler Republican <input type="radio"/>	Jerry Sonnenberg Republican <input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	Bernie Buescher Democratic <input type="radio"/>	COUNTY OFFICES
<input type="radio"/>	Amanda Campbell American Constitution <input type="radio"/>	COUNTY COMMISSIONER - AT LARGE (Vote for One)
Write-in <input type="text"/>	STATE TREASURER (Vote for One)	Tom Pettit Democratic <input type="radio"/> Bill Garcia Republican <input type="radio"/>
DISTRICT 2 - REPRESENTATIVE TO THE 112TH UNITED STATES CONGRESS (Vote for One)	Walker Stapleton Republican <input type="radio"/> Cary Kennedy Democratic <input type="radio"/>	COUNTY COMMISSIONER - DISTRICT 2 (Vote for One)
Stephen Bailey Republican <input type="radio"/>	ATTORNEY GENERAL (Vote for One)	Douglas J. Rademacher Republican <input type="radio"/>
Jared Polis Democratic <input type="radio"/>	Stan Garnett Democratic <input type="radio"/> John Suthers Republican <input type="radio"/>	CLERK AND RECORDER (Vote for One)
Curtis Harris Libertarian <input type="radio"/>	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 2 - STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION (Vote for One)	Steve Moreno Republican <input type="radio"/>
Jenna Goss (Signed declaration to limit service to no more than 3 terms) American Constitution <input type="radio"/>	Angelika Schroeder Democratic <input type="radio"/> Kaye Ferry Republican <input type="radio"/>	ASSESSOR (Vote for One)
Write-in <input type="text"/>	AT LARGE - REGENT OF THE UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO (Vote for One)	Christopher M. Woodruff Republican <input type="radio"/>
DISTRICT 4 - REPRESENTATIVE TO THE 112TH UNITED STATES CONGRESS (Vote for One)	Melissa Hart Democratic <input type="radio"/> Steve Bosley Republican <input type="radio"/> Jesse B. Wallace Libertarian <input type="radio"/>	SHERIFF (Vote for One)
Betsy Markey Democratic <input type="radio"/>	CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 4 - REGENT OF THE UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO (Vote for One)	Joseph "Joe" R. Padilla Democratic <input type="radio"/> John B. Cooke Republican <input type="radio"/>
Cory Gardner Republican <input type="radio"/>	Robert "BC" Bishop-Cotner Democratic <input type="radio"/> Sue Sharkey Republican <input type="radio"/>	COUNTY COUNCIL AT LARGE (Vote for One)
Doug Aden (Signed declaration to limit service to no more than 3 terms) American Constitution <input type="radio"/>		Tony Arguello <input type="radio"/> Perry Buck <input type="radio"/>
Ken "Wasko" Waszkiewicz Unaffiliated <input type="radio"/>		COUNTY COUNCIL - DISTRICT 3 (Vote for One)
	STATE SENATE - DISTRICT 13 (Vote for One)	John Kivimaki <input type="radio"/>
	Ken Storck Democratic <input type="radio"/> Scott W. Renfroe Republican <input type="radio"/>	CITY
		CITY OF DACONO COUNCIL MEMBERS (Vote for no more than two)
		Joe Baker <input type="radio"/> Stephen Ditlow <input type="radio"/> Kevin Plain <input type="radio"/>

JUSTICE OF THE COLORADO SUPREME COURT (Vote Yes or No)		Shall Judge Michele Meyer of the Weld County Court be retained in office?		Amendment 60 (CONSTITUTIONAL)		Amendment 63 (CONSTITUTIONAL)	
Shall Justice Michael L. Bender of the Colorado Supreme Court be retained in office?		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning government charges on property, and, in connection therewith, allowing petitions in all districts for elections to lower property taxes; specifying requirements for property tax elections; requiring enterprises and authorities to pay property taxes but offsetting the revenues with lower tax rates; prohibiting enterprises and unelected boards from levying fees or taxes on property; setting expiration dates for certain tax rate and revenue increases; requiring school districts to reduce property tax rates and replacing the revenue with state aid; and eliminating property taxes that exceed the dollar amount included in an approved ballot question, that exceed state property tax laws, policies, and limits existing in 1992 that have been violated, changed, or weakened without state voter approval, or that were not approved by voters without certain ballot language?		Shall there be an amendment to the Colorado constitution concerning the right of all persons to health care choice, and, in connection therewith, prohibiting the state independently or at the instance of the United States from adopting or enforcing any statute, regulation, resolution, or policy that requires a person to participate in a public or private health insurance or coverage plan or that denies, restricts, or penalizes the right or ability of a person to make or receive direct payments for lawful health care services; and exempting from the effects of the amendment emergency medical treatment required to be provided by hospitals, health facilities, and health care providers or health benefits provided under workers' compensation or similar insurance?	
YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		Shall Judge Dana Nichols of the Weld County Court be retained in office?		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>	
Shall Justice Alex J. Martinez of the Colorado Supreme Court be retained in office?		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		Shall Judge Charles S. Unfug of the Weld County Court be retained in office?		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>	
Shall Justice Nancy E. Rice of the Colorado Supreme Court be retained in office?		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>	
YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		Ballot issues referred by the general assembly or any political subdivision are listed by letter, and ballot issues initiated by the people are listed numerically. A ballot issue listed as an "amendment" proposes a change to the Colorado constitution, and a ballot issue listed as a "proposition" proposes a change to the Colorado Revised Statutes. A "yes" vote on any ballot issue is a vote in favor of changing current law or existing circumstances, and a "no" vote on any ballot issue is a vote against changing current law or existing circumstances.		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>	
COURT OF APPEALS (Vote Yes or No)		Shall Judge John Daniel Dailey of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>	
Shall Judge Richard L. Gabriel of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>	
Shall Judge Nancy J. Lichtenstein of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>	
Shall Judge David J. Richman of the Colorado Court of Appeals be retained in office?		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>	
YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>	
DISTRICT JUDGE 19th JUDICIAL DISTRICT (Vote Yes or No)		Shall Judge Julie C. Hoskins of the 19th Judicial District be retained in office?		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>	
Shall Judge Daniel S. Maus of the 19th Judicial District be retained in office?		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>	
Shall Judge Thomas J. Quammen of the 19th Judicial District be retained in office?		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>	
YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>	
COUNTY JUDGE, WELD (Vote Yes or No)		Shall Judge Timothy G. Kerns of the Weld County Court be retained in office?		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>	
YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>		YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/>	

<div><div>BALLOT ISSUE 2A CITY OF GREELEY</div><div>SHALL CITY OF GREELEY TAXES BE INCREASED \$9,000,000 ANNUALLY (ESTIMATED FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR DOLLAR INCREASE), AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER, BY INCREASING THE RATE OF THE CITY SALES AND USE TAX (INCLUDING THE CITY SALES TAX ON FOOD UNTIL ITS EXPIRATION) BY .75% (FROM 3.46% TO 4.21%) COMMENCING JANUARY 1, 2011;</div><div>WITH THE REVENUES FROM SUCH SALES AND USE TAX RATE INCREASE TO BE USED (SUBJECT TO ANY APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS FOR VOTER-APPROVED BONDS AND OTHER OBLIGATIONS) TO FUND COSTS OF ROAD MAINTENANCE, WHICH MAY INCLUDE RELATED OPERATION, MANAGEMENT AND OTHER INCIDENTAL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH ROAD MAINTENANCE, ALL AS PROVIDED IN THE CITY’S ORDINANCE NO. 28, 2010;</div><div>AND SHALL ALL REVENUES FROM SUCH SALES AND USE TAX RATE INCREASE (REGARDLESS OF WHETHER SUCH REVENUES IN ANY YEAR AFTER THE FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR EXCEED THE ESTIMATED DOLLAR AMOUNT STATED ABOVE), AND INVESTMENT INCOME ON ALL SUCH REVENUES, CONSTITUTE VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES AND EXCEPTIONS TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY UNDER ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION; ALL AS FURTHER PROVIDED IN ORDINANCE NO. 28, 2010?</div><div>YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/></div></div>	<div><div>BALLOT ISSUE 2A TOWN OF MILLIKEN</div><div>SHALL SALES AND USE TAXES FOR MILLIKEN BE INCREASED \$220,000 ANNUALLY IN FISCAL 2011, TO BE USED ONLY FOR PUBLIC STREET IMPROVEMENTS, MAINTENANCE AND REPAIRS, BY INCREASING THE SALES AND USE TAXES FROM 2.5 PERCENT TO 3.5 PERCENT TO CONTINUE FOR TEN YEARS WHEN THE TAX RATE SHALL RETURN TO 2.5 PERCENT TO ONLY BE EXPENDED AS FOLLOWS:<ul style="list-style-type: none">0.50% (ONE-HALF OF ONE PERCENT) SHALL BE USED TO PAY FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS TO STREETS INCLUDING PAVING AND OVERLAY PROJECTS.AND<ul style="list-style-type: none">0.50% (ONE-HALF OF ONE PERCENT) SHALL BE USED TO PAY FOR PUBLIC STREET MAINTENANCE INCLUDING RESURFACING AND UNIMPROVED ROADWAY MAINTENANCE. WITH ALL TAX REVENUES GENERATED FROM THE INCREASED TAX AUTHORIZED HEREIN AND FROM ANY EARNINGS FROM THE INVESTMENT OF SUCH REVENUES TO CONSTITUTE A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE, AND AN EXCEPTION TO THE REVENUE AND SPENDING LIMITS OF ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?</div><div>YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/></div></div>	<div><div>INITIATED QUESTION 300 TOWN OF WINDSOR</div><div>Shall The Town Of Windsor, Colorado, Adopt An Ordinance To Prohibit The Operation Of Medical Marijuana Centers, Optional Premises Cultivation Facilities, And Medical Marijuana-Infused Product Manufacturing Facilities Within The Town Of Windsor, Colorado, Corporate Limits?</div><div>YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/></div></div>
	<div><div>BALLOT ISSUE 2A CITY OF NORTHGLENN</div><div>WITHOUT RAISING ADDITIONAL TAXES, SHALL THE EXISTING ONE-HALF PERCENT (1/2%) SALES AND USE TAX ON NON-FOOD ITEMS ORIGINALLY ADOPTED BY NORTHGLENN’S ELECTORS AT THE SPECIAL ELECTION OF MAY 9, 1989, AND EXTENDED AT THE MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS OF NOVEMBER 8, 1994, NOVEMBER 2, 1999, AND NOVEMBER 2, 2004, AND WHICH IS TO EXPIRE ON DECEMBER 31, 2010, BE EXTENDED AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE, THE PROCEEDS OF SUCH SALES AND USE TAX EXTENSION TO BE USED EXCLUSIVELY FOR THE CONSTRUCTION AND REHABILITATION OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, WATER AND SEWER CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS, THE EXISTING NORTHGLENN RECREATION CENTER, AND PUBLIC FACILITIES; AND FURTHER PROVIDED THAT THE ONE-HALF PERCENT (1/2%) SALES TAX ON NON-FOOD ITEMS SHALL TERMINATE ON DECEMBER 31, 2025?</div><div>YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/></div></div>	<div><div>BALLOT ISSUE 3A BRIGHTON SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 27J</div><div>“SHALL BRIGHTON SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 27J’S TAXES BE INCREASED \$3.25 MILLION ANNUALLY BY A LEVY OF 4 MILLS IN THE 2010-2011 BUDGET YEAR AND BY WHATEVER AMOUNT IS RAISED BY A LEVY OF 4 MILLS IN EACH BUDGET YEAR THEREAFTER, BUT IN NO EVENT SHALL SUCH TAX INCREASE BE GREATER THAN THE AMOUNT PERMITTED UNDER SECTION 22-54-108, C.R.S., BY THE COLLECTION OF AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAXES FOR DEPOSIT IN THE DISTRICT’S GENERAL FUND FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO:</div><div><ul style="list-style-type: none">MAINTAINING REASONABLE CLASS SIZES BY RECRUITING AND RETAINING HIGHLY QUALIFIED TEACHERS AND INSTRUCTIONAL STAFF;PURCHASING AND MAINTAINING INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES,WHICH MAY INCLUDE TECHNOLOGY BASED ITEMS, TO SUPPORT THE INSTRUCTION OF STUDENTS;MAINTAINING HEALTHY AND SAFE LEARNING ENVIRONMENTS;</div><div>WITH SUCH TAXES TO BE IN EXCESS OF PROPERTY TAX REVENUES THAT WOULD BE PROVIDED BY THE GENERAL FUND MILL LEVY PERMITTED UNDER STATE LAW WITHOUT SUCH INCREASE AND, TOGETHER WITH REVENUES FROM SPECIFIC OWNERSHIP TAXES ATTRIBUTABLE THERETO AND THE EARNINGS ON SUCH TAXES AND REVENUES, TO CONSTITUTE A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGE UNDER, TO BE COLLECTED AND SPENT EACH YEAR WITHOUT LIMITATION BY THE REVENUE AND SPENDING LIMITS OF, AND WITHOUT AFFECTING THE DISTRICT’S ABILITY TO COLLECT AND SPEND OTHER REVENUES OR FUNDS UNDER, ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR ANY OTHER LAW?”</div><div>YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/></div></div>
<div><div>BALLOT ISSUE 2B CITY OF GREELEY</div><div>SHALL THE CITY OF GREELEY EXTEND UNTIL DECEMBER 31, 2016, THE CITY SALES TAX ON FOOD, SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE DECEMBER 31, 2011, TO CONTINUE FUNDING CAPITAL PROJECTS, CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION, CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS AND CAPITAL REPAIRS, WITH A MINIMUM OF 70% OF THE REVENUE GENERATED FROM THIS SALES TAX ON FOOD DEDICATED TO ROAD MAINTENANCE, ALL AS FURTHER PROVIDED IN ORDINANCE NO. 29, 2010?</div><div>YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/></div></div>		
<div><div>BALLOT QUESTION 2C CITY OF GREELEY</div><div>Shall properties be detached from within the boundaries of the City of Greeley, to be annexed by the City of Evans, for the purpose of adjusting the boundaries between the two cities to better maintain roads and rights-of-way, and generally described as: one section measuring about 2,900 feet of the north half right-of-way for 31st Street, east of the Union Pacific Railroad west right-of-way line and west of 1st Avenue; one section consisting of the north half of the intersection of 23rd Avenue and 32nd Street; according to Ordinance No. 30, 2010?</div><div>YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/></div></div>	<div><div>REFERRED ISSUE 2A TOWN OF WINDSOR</div><div>SHALL TOWN OF WINDSOR TAXES BE INCREASED \$30,000.00 (FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR INCREASE) ANNUALLY AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER THROUGH THE ADOPTION OF AN ORDINANCE IMPOSING AN EXCISE TAX OF THREE PERCENT (3%) UPON THE PRICE PAID FOR SPECIFIED LODGING SERVICES PROVIDED WITHIN THE TOWN OF WINDSOR AND UNDER WHICH ALL REVENUE COLLECTED AS A RESULT IS EXCLUSIVELY DEDICATED TO SPONSORING COMMUNITY EVENTS, PROMOTING TOURISM AND CONVENTIONS, AND RELATED ACTIVITIES WITHIN THE TOWN OF WINDSOR, AUTHORIZING THE TOWN TO COLLECT AND SPEND SUCH REVENUES AS A VOTER-APPROVED REVENUE CHANGE NOTWITHSTANDING ANY REVENUE OR EXPENDITURE LIMITATION CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, AND UNDER SUCH ORDINANCE ESTABLISHING AN ADVISORY BOARD FOR THE PURPOSE OF MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE EXPENDITURE OF REVENUE DERIVED THEREFROM?</div><div>YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/></div></div>	
<div><div>BALLOT QUESTION 2A TOWN OF MEAD</div><div>Shall the Town of Mead, Colorado be authorized to publish ordinances of the Town by Title only?</div><div>YES <input type="radio"/> NO <input type="radio"/></div></div>		

<div><div>BALLOT ISSUE 5A LASALLE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT</div><div>SHALL LASALLE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED BY \$779,000 ANNUALLY, AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED THEREAFTER, BY AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY OF NOT MORE THAN 3.0 MILLS, (FOR A TOTAL GENERAL OPERATING MILL LEVY OF 4.553 MILLS) COMMENCING IN TAX YEAR 2010 FOR COLLECTION IN FISCAL YEAR 2011, WITH SUCH PROCEEDS TO BE USED FOR DEFRAYING THE INCREASED COSTS OF THE DISTRICT'S GENERAL OPERATIONS, SPECIFICALLY INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ENHANCING EMERGENCY MEDICAL AND RESPONSE SERVICES INCLUDING RESPONSE TIMES, AND SHALL SUCH TAX PROCEEDS BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES IN EACH YEAR, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING OR REVENUE LIMITATION CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?</div><div><div>YES</div><div>NO</div></div></div>	<div><div>BALLOT ISSUE 5A MILLIKEN FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT</div><div>SHALL MILLIKEN FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED BY \$365,000.00 ANNUALLY, AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED THEREAFTER, BY AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY OF NOT MORE THAN 4.200 MILLS, (FOR A TOTAL GENERAL OPERATING MILL LEVY OF 10.146 MILLS) COMMENCING IN TAX YEAR 2010 FOR COLLECTION IN FISCAL YEAR 2011, WITH SUCH PROCEEDS TO BE USED TO SUSTAIN THE DISTRICT'S GENERAL OPERATIONS, SPECIFICALLY INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, HIRING ADDITIONAL FIREFIGHTING/EMERGENCY MEDICAL PERSONNEL TO MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE CURRENT OPERATIONS IN LIGHT OF DECLINING PROPERTY VALUES AND THE RESULTING DECREASED REVENUE, AND SHALL SUCH TAX PROCEEDS BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES IN EACH YEAR, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING OR REVENUE LIMITATION CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?</div><div><div>YES</div><div>NO</div></div></div> <div><div>BALLOT ISSUE 5B MILLIKEN FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT</div><div>WITHOUT INCREASING TAXES, SHALL THE MILLIKEN FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND ALL REVENUES AND OTHER FUNDS FROM ANY REVENUE SOURCE (INCLUDING THOSE FROM TAXES, STATE GRANTS, AND AUTHORIZED FEES), EFFECTIVE IN FISCAL YEAR 2010, AND CONTINUING THEREAFTER, AND SHALL THE REVENUES FROM ALL SUCH SOURCES BE SPENT AS VOTER APPROVED REVENUE CHANGES AND AS AN EXCEPTION TO THE LIMITS WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE APPLY, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION, AND SECTION 29-1-301, C.R.S.?</div><div><div>YES</div><div>NO</div></div></div>	<div><div>BALLOT ISSUE 5A PLATTE VALLEY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT</div><div>SHALL THE PLATTE VALLEY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED BY \$686,000.00 ANNUALLY, AND BY WHATEVER ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS ARE RAISED THEREAFTER, BY AN ADDITIONAL MILL LEVY OF NOT MORE THAN 2.75 MILLS, (FOR A TOTAL GENERAL OPERATING MILL LEVY OF 5.165 MILLS) COMMENCING IN TAX YEAR 2010 FOR COLLECTION IN FISCAL YEAR 2011, WITH SUCH PROCEEDS TO BE USED FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS INCLUDING BUILDING A FIRE STATION AND TO COMPLY WITH NFPA STANDARDS FOR APPARATUS, STAFFING AND RESPONSE TIMES TO BE MORE EFFECTIVE IN THE SERVICES PROVIDED TO THE CITIZENS OF THE DISTRICT AND SHALL SUCH TAX PROCEEDS BE COLLECTED AND SPENT BY THE DISTRICT AS A VOTER APPROVED REVENUE AND SPENDING CHANGES IN EACH YEAR, WITHOUT REGARD TO ANY SPENDING OR REVENUE LIMITATION CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION OR SECTION 29-1-301, COLORADO REVISED STATUTES?</div><div><div>YES</div><div>NO</div></div></div> <div><div>REFERRED ISSUE 4B POUDRE VALLEY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT</div><div>SHALL POUDRE VALLEY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT TAXES BE INCREASED \$525,191 ANNUALLY IN THE FIRST FULL FISCAL YEAR (FOR COLLECTION IN 2011) AND BY SUCH ADDITIONAL AMOUNTS RAISED ANNUALLY THEREAFTER BY AN AD VALOREM PROPERTY TAX MILL LEVY INCREASE OF 1.294 MILLS (WHICH IN 2010 IS APPROXIMATELY \$1.72 PER MONTH ON A \$200,000 HOME) FOR THE PURPOSE OF MAINTAINING EXISTING SERVICE AND FACILITIES AND TO MEET INCREASED EMERGENCY SERVICE NEEDS; AND SHALL THE POUDRE VALLEY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT BE AUTHORIZED TO COLLECT, RETAIN AND SPEND THE ADDITIONAL REVENUE ALONG WITH ALL OTHER REVENUES, NOTWITHSTANDING ANY LIMITATION OR RESTRICTION ON REVENUE OR SPENDING NOW CONTAINED IN ARTICLE X, SECTION 20 OF THE COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND SECTION 29-1-301 <i>ET SEQ.</i> COLORADO REVISED STATUTES OR ANY OTHER LAW?</div><div><div>YES</div><div>NO</div></div></div>
--	---	--